UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

KENNETH CONLIN,

Plaintiff,

-vs- Case No: 1:16cv-1140 Honorable Robert J. Jonker

VILLAGE OF CENTREVILLE, DEREK J. GUTHRIE, DAVID R. RICHMOND, and LUKE J. DELCOURT, in their individual and official capacities,

Defendants.

Christopher J. Trainor (P42449)
Amy J. DeRouin (p70514)
CHRISTOPHER TRAINOR & ASSOCIATES
Attorneys for Plaintiff
9750 Highland Road
White Lake, MI 48386
(248) 866-8650
(248) 698-3321 fax
Amy.Derouin@cjtrainor.com

Robert A. Callahan (P47600) PLUNKETT COONEY Attorney for Defendants 950 Trade Centre Way, Suite 310 Kalamazoo, MI 49002 (269) 226-8856 (269) 382-2506 fax rcallahan@plunkettcooney.com

DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF CASE AND ELEMENTS

Statement of Case

This case arises out of an incident occurring on September 19, 2014, between Plaintiff Conlin and Defendant Delcourt. At the time of the incident, Defendant Delcourt was the chief of police for the Defendant Village of Centreville. Plaintiff Conlin claims that Defendant Delcourt used excessive force against him while he was handcuffed during the course of his arrest. This violated his civil rights under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution, which prohibits the use of excessive force during an arrest. In addition, Plaintiff Conlin alleges that Defendant Village of Centreville is liable for Delcourt's

constitutional violations against Plaintiff Conlin asserting he was the chief policymaker for the Village of Centreville. Plaintiff claims the Defendant Village of Centreville is also liable because it engaged in constitutional violations with respect to customs, practices and/or policies relating to the failure to adequately train and/or supervise its officers regarding the appropriate use of force. Plaintiff Conlin claims that he suffered injuries and damages as a result of Defendants' actions and/or inactions. Defendant Delcourt denies that any force was used was excessive. Defendant Village of Centreville also denies Plaintiff Conlin's claims.

Elements

A. Fourth Amendment Excessive Force

The factors to be considered in determining whether the use of force employed by Defendant Delcourt against Plaintiff Conlin was excessive are as follows:

- Defendant Delcourt acted under color of state law (this element has not been met);
- 2. Defendant Delcourt deprived Plaintiff Conlin of his Fourth Amendment right to be free from the use of excessive force; and
- 3. As a proximate result, Plaintiff suffered injuries and damages.

B. *Monell* Claims Against Defendant Village of Centreville

The facts to consider in considering whether to attribute liability to Defendant Village of Centreville:

1. Whether the actions of Defendant Delcourt, as the chief of police, against Plaintiff Conlin deprived Plaintiff Conlin of his Fourth Amendment rights;

- 2. Whether Defendant Village of Centreville had a custom or policy or practice of failing to train or supervise its police officers; and
- 3. Was the failure to train, supervise, and/or the fact that Defendant Delcourt was the chief policymaker for Defendant Village of Centreville caused Defendant Delcourt's unconstitutional acts against Plaintiff Conlin.

Dated February 13, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

PLUNKETT COONEY

By: /s/ Robert A. Callahan

Robert A. Callahan (P47600) Attorney for Defendants 950 Trade Centre Way, Suite 310 Kalamazoo, MI 49002

Direct Dial: 269/226-8856

Open.00560.63551.19900589-1